

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/280 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 25 JAN 2016

M.A. No. 615/2015 IN O.A. No. 1066/2013.

With

M.A. No. 616/2015 IN O.A. No. 1066/2013.

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, through The Principal Secretary, Industry, Energy & Labour Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai.
- 2 The Commissioner of Labour, M.S., Having Office at Bandra, Mumbai.
- 3 The Addl. Commissioner of Labour, Pune Division, Dist. Pune.

.....**APPLICANT/S. (Ori. Resp.)**

VERSUS

1. Smt. Shakuntala D. Sarjerao,
R/at. 1358, Pundalik Krupa Apt., Kasba Peth, Pune-411 011.

...**RESPONDENT/S (Ori. Appli.)**

Copy to : C.P.O. , M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 19th day of **January, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri. K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the the Applicants (Ori. Resp.)
Shri M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Appli.)

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**
HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE : **19.01.2016.**

ORDER : **(M.A. No. 615/2015 IN O.A. No. 1066/2013)**

Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-Original Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent-Original Applicant.

2. In view of the orders dated 22.12.2015 in WP No. 12793/15 made be the Hon'ble High Court whereby the operation of our order dated 16.9.2014 and another order made on 18.12.2015 by the Hon'ble Chairman came to be stayed and that being the state of affairs nothing remains in this application for extension of time and the same is disposed off according with no order as to costs.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman.

(M.A. No. 615/2015 IN O.A. No. 1066/2013):-

Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants- original Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents -original Applicant.

2. The facts are such that the delay in making the MA for extension of time needs to be condoned. The same is accordingly condoned and MA No. 616 of 2015 is allowed with no order as to costs.

**Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)**

**Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman.**

Amal
22/1/2016
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**